

The Lie Algebra Rank Condition for Non-Bilinear Quantum Systems

Domenico D'Alessandro
 Department of Mathematics
 Iowa State University
 Ames, IA 50011, USA
 Tel. (+1) 515 294 8130
 email: daless@iastate.edu

February 1, 2008

The controllability condition for right invariant systems on Lie groups derived in [8] was applied to quantum systems in [1], [4], [7]. This condition is called the *Lie Algebra Rank Condition*. In applications to quantum systems, the condition has been stated assuming that the right invariant differential system under consideration is bilinear. The aim of this communication is to point out that this assumption is not necessary and in fact families of vector fields not necessarily bilinear have been already considered for example in [5] (Chpt. 6). To make this communication self-contained we give in the following a proof of this result.

We shall consider systems of the form

$$\dot{X} = -iH(u_1, \dots, u_m)X, \quad X(0) = I, \quad (1)$$

where H is a matrix (continuous) function of the controls $u_1, \dots, u_m$, which is assumed to be Hermitian for every value of $u_1, \dots, u_m$, in the control set U , but otherwise arbitrary; The matrix I is the $n \times n$ Identity matrix. We define the Lie Algebra $\mathcal{L}$ associated to system (1) as the Lie algebra generated by

$$\text{span}_{\{u_1, \dots, u_m\} \in U} \{iH(u_1, \dots, u_m)\}, \quad (2)$$

and let $e^{\mathcal{L}}$ denote the corresponding connected Lie group. We shall denote by $\mathcal{R}$ the set of states reachable from the Identity for system (1). The admissible control functions are assumed to be piecewise continuous functions with values in U . We have:

Theorem:

$$\mathcal{R} = e^{\mathcal{L}}. \quad (3)$$

Proof. $\mathcal{R} \subseteq e^{\mathcal{L}}$ follows from the fact that $e^{\mathcal{L}}$ is the maximal integral manifold associated to the vector fields defined in (2) [See Theorem 8.7 in [2] and Lemma 2.4 in [8]]. Therefore we have to prove only that given an element X_f of $e^{\mathcal{L}}$ there exist piecewise constant controls such that the solution of (1) attains the value X_f . The topology of $e^{\mathcal{L}}$ is the one induced by the one of $U(n)$. The proof uses arguments borrowed from [3], [6], [9]. We first state three facts and then conclude.

Fact 1: $\mathcal{R}$ is a semigroup.

Fact 2: $\mathcal{R}$ is dense in $e^{\mathcal{L}}$

Let $A_1, \dots, A_s$ be a set of linearly independent generators of $\mathcal{L}$ obtained by setting the controls equal to appropriate values in $iH(u_1, \dots, u_m)$ in (2). Clearly, all the elements in the one dimensional semigroups

$$\{X \in e^{\mathcal{L}} | X = e^{A_j t}, t \geq 0\}, \quad j = 1, \dots, s, \quad (4)$$

are in $\mathcal{R}$. It follows from Lemma 6.2 in [6] that every matrix in $e^{\mathcal{L}}$ can be expressed as a finite product of matrices of the form e^{At} , with $A \in \{A_1, \dots, A_s\}$ and $t \in \mathbf{R}$. Moreover, given a matrix of the form e^{At} , with $t \in \mathbf{R}$, by compactness of the Lie group $U(n)$ (see e.g. the argument in Theorem 6.5 of [6]) we can always choose a *positive* α such that $e^{A\alpha}$ is arbitrarily close to e^{At} . Combining these arguments it follows that $\mathcal{R}$ is dense in $e^{\mathcal{L}}$.

Fact 3: $\mathcal{R}$ contains a neighborhood of the Identity in $e^{\mathcal{L}}$

Let n be the dimension of $\mathcal{L}$. We can choose (see Lemma 1 in [3]) $n - s$ elements in $e^{\mathcal{L}}$, $U_1, \dots, U_{n-s}$ and $n - s$ element in the set $\{A_1, \dots, A_s\}$, say $\bar{A}_1, \dots, \bar{A}_{n-s}$, such that,

$$\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_s, U_1 \bar{A}_1 U_1^{-1}, \dots, U_{n-s} \bar{A}_{n-s} U_{n-s}^{-1}\}, \quad (5)$$

form a basis of $\mathcal{L}$. Given n elements $V_1, \dots, V_n$ in $\mathcal{R}$, consider the function $\Phi(j_1, \dots, j_n)$ from a neighborhood of the point $(1, \dots, 1)$ in $\mathbf{R}^n$ to $e^{\mathcal{L}}$,

$$\Phi(j_1, \dots, j_n) := e^{A_1 j_1} V_1 e^{A_2 j_2} V_2 \dots e^{A_s j_s} V_s e^{\bar{A}_1 j_{s+1}} V_{s+1} \dots e^{\bar{A}_{n-s} j_n} V_n. \quad (6)$$

All the elements obtained by $\Phi(j_1, \dots, j_n)$ with $j_1, \dots, j_n \geq 0$ are in $\mathcal{R}$. We can show that, if we choose appropriately $V_1, \dots, V_n$, these elements contain a neighborhood of the point $U_0 := e^{A_1} V_1 e^{A_2} V_2 \dots e^{A_s} V_s e^{\bar{A}_1} V_{s+1} \dots e^{\bar{A}_{n-s}} V_n$ by showing that the Jacobian at $(1, \dots, 1)$ is non zero and applying the implicit functions theorem (cfr. [9]).

Differentiating at $(1, \dots, 1)$ the function $\Phi(j_1, \dots, j_n)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \Phi(j_1, \dots, j_n)}{\partial j_1} \Big|_{j_1, \dots, j_n=1, \dots, 1} &= A_1 e^{A_1} V_1 e^{A_2} V_2 \dots e^{A_s} V_s e^{\bar{A}_1} V_{s+1} \dots e^{\bar{A}_{n-s}} V_n, \\ \frac{\partial \Phi(j_1, \dots, j_n)}{\partial j_2} \Big|_{j_1, \dots, j_n=1, \dots, 1} &= e^{A_1} V_1 A_2 e^{A_2} V_2 \dots e^{A_s} V_s e^{\bar{A}_1} V_{s+1} \dots e^{\bar{A}_{n-s}} V_n, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \cdot \\
& \cdot \\
& \cdot \\
& \frac{\partial \Phi(j_1, \dots, j_n)}{\partial j_{s+1}} \Big|_{j_1, \dots, j_n=1, \dots, 1} = e^{A_1} V_1 e^{A_2} V_2 \dots e^{A_s} V_s \bar{A}_1 e^{\bar{A}_1} V_{s+1} \dots e^{\bar{A}_{n-s}} V_n, \\
& \cdot \\
& \cdot \\
& \cdot
\end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\partial \Phi(j_1, \dots, j_n)}{\partial j_{s+1}} \Big|_{j_1, \dots, j_n=1, \dots, 1} = e^{A_1} V_1 e^{A_2} V_2 \dots e^{A_s} V_s e^{\bar{A}_1} V_{s+1} \dots \bar{A}_{n-s} e^{\bar{A}_{n-s}} V_n.$$

Choosing

$$\begin{aligned}
V_1 &\approx e^{-A_1}, \\
& \cdot \\
& \cdot \\
& \cdot \\
V_{s-1} &\approx e^{-A_{s-1}}, \\
V_s &\approx e^{-A_s} U_1, \\
V_{s+1} &\approx e^{-\bar{A}_1} U_1^{-1} U_2, \\
& \cdot \\
& \cdot \\
& \cdot \\
V_{n-1} &\approx e^{-\bar{A}_{n-s-1}} U_{n-s-1}^{-1} U_{n-s}, \\
V_n &\approx e^{-\bar{A}_{n-s}} U_{n-s}^{-1},
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial \Phi(j_1, \dots, j_n)}{\partial j_1} \Big|_{j_1, \dots, j_n=1, \dots, 1} \approx A_1, \\
& \cdot \\
& \cdot \\
& \cdot \\
& \frac{\partial \Phi(j_1, \dots, j_n)}{\partial j_s} \Big|_{j_1, \dots, j_n=1, \dots, 1} \approx A_s, \\
& \frac{\partial \Phi(j_1, \dots, j_n)}{\partial j_{s+1}} \Big|_{j_1, \dots, j_n=1, \dots, 1} \approx U_1 \bar{A}_1 U_1^{-1}, \\
& \cdot \\
& \cdot \\
& \cdot
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

$$\frac{\partial \Phi(j_1, \dots, j_n)}{\partial j_n} \Big|_{j_1, \dots, j_n=1, \dots, 1} \approx U_{n-s} \bar{A}_{n-s} U_{n-s}^{-1}, \quad (10)$$

which are linearly independent and this proves our claim.

Now, from the fact that the open set $B(U_0, \epsilon)$ is a subset of $\mathcal{R}$ it follows that $\mathcal{R}$ contains a neighborhood of the Identity. In particular choose $U_0^{\bar{k}} \in \mathcal{R}$, such that

$$\|U_0^{\bar{k}} - U_0^{-1}\| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

Then if $F \in B(I, \frac{\epsilon}{2})$, writing $F = U_0^{\bar{k}} X$ we have

$$\frac{\epsilon}{2} > \|F - I\| \geq \|X - U_0\| - \|U_0^{\bar{k}} - U_0^{-1}\|, \quad (11)$$

and therefore

$$\|X - U_0\| < \epsilon, \quad (12)$$

which implies $X \in \mathcal{R}$ and therefore $F = U_0^{\bar{k}} X \in \mathcal{R}$ as well.

Conclusion:

The semigroup $\mathcal{R}$ contains a neighborhood of the identity in $e^{\mathcal{L}}$. Since $e^{\mathcal{L}}$ is connected, it follows that $\mathcal{R} = e^{\mathcal{L}}$. $\square$

Notice we only used compactness of the Lie group $U(n)$ and therefore the proof will go through if $e^{\mathcal{L}}$ was a connected Lie subgroup of a general compact Lie Group.

References

- [1] F. Albertini and D. D'Alessandro, Notions of controllability for quantum mechanical systems, *Proceedings 40-th Conference on Decision and Control*, Dec. 2001, preprint <http://arXiv.org>, quant-ph 0106128.
- [2] W. Boothby, *An Introduction to Differentiable Manifolds and Riemannian Geometry*, Academic Press, Orlando, 1986.
- [3] D. D'Alessandro, Uniform finite generation of compact Lie groups, *Systems and Control Letters*, **47**, (2002) 87-90.
- [4] G. M. Huang, T. J. Tarn and J. W. Clark, On the controllability of quantum mechanical systems, *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, **24**, 11, November 1983, pg. 2608-2618.
- [5] V. Jurdjević, *Geometric Control Theory*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 1997.

- [6] V. Jurdjević and H. Sussmann, Control Systems on Lie groups, *Journal of Differential Equations*, 12, 1972 313-329.
- [7] V. Ramakrishna, M. V. Salapaka, M. Dahleh, H. Rabitz, A. Peirce, Controllability of molecular systems, *Physical Review A*, **51**, 2, 1995, 960-966.
- [8] H. J. Sussmann and V. Jurdjevic, Controllability of nonlinear systems, *Journal of Differential Equations*, 12: 95-116, 1972.
- [9] N. Weaver, On the universality of almost every quantum logic gate, *J. Math. Phys*, **41** (1), 2000, 240-243.